FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

I. BASIC DATA

Organization Name: Wilderness Foundation

Project Title: Baviaanskloof Mega-Reserve Project: Mega-Reserve Vision and 5-Year

Development and Management Plan

II. OPENING REMARKS

The project has a long-term goal of conserving the biodiversity of the Baviaanskloof Mega Reserve with the delivery of benefits and the full support of local communities, endorsement by government and international recognition. In order to achieve this goal it was important to provide a clear vision, development and management plan to provide the framework in which to operate. The input and support of stakeholders at the initial stage of a project of this magnitude is vital and hence emphasis on this aspect. This project has successfully created the desired framework, which will enable the implementation phase to take place.

The project has exceeded most of the purpose indicators with particular success in attracting funding for implementation. The extensive stakeholder process required was however underestimated but the time extension allowed for the completion of this aspect.

With the change in status to a World Heritage Site as well as the establishment of a new management authority (Eastern Cape Parks Board) further attention will need to be given to securing satisfactory institutional arrangements, supporting effective management as well as clarifying the status of the Protected Area.

III. ACHIEVEMENT OF PROJECT PURPOSE

Project Purpose: To establish an effective and sustainable vision and 5-year development and management plan for the Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve area that is accepted by the stakeholders.

Planned vs. Actual Performance

Indicator	Actual at Completion
Purpose-level: To establish an effective and	
sustainable vision and 5-year development	
and management plan for the Baviaanskloof	
Mega-reserve area that is accepted by the	
stakeholders. This vision and plan is to	
include:	
(1) plans for expanding the protected areas,	
(2) plans for sustainable community	
utilisation of biodiversity which benefits	
local communities and biodiversity and	
(3) plans to enhance co-operative	
governance, promote community	
participation and to support institutional	

capacity for management.	
Indicator 1: Effective management of the Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve by the designated management agency	The magnitude of the management responsibility is enormous and in fact increasing as the area expands. The current management is less than effective but this will be addressed as a critical area in the GEF project.
Indicator 2: Stakeholders and local communities effectively involved in decision-making and management of the Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve	Various meetings and workshops were held over an 18-month period (Feb 2003-August 2004) with the local communities, Landowners & Municipalities to discuss the vision of the BMRP. The key performance areas as well as broad principles regarding possible projects of the BMRP were discussed and agreed on. A Stakeholder Working Group was established to assist with guidelines in terms of Project implementation.
Indicator 3: Partnerships between civil society and Baviaanskloof mega-reserve management secured.	Several completed and ongoing
Indicator 4: Objectives and spatial extent of the Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve are incorporated into the statutory approved Integrated Development Plans of the Cacadu municipalities by June 2005	Some BMR plans have been included into the local municipal Integrated Development Plans (IDP's)(4 local, 2 district, 1 metro municipality). Interactions regarding inclusion of plans into the Cacadu District Municipality (DM) IDP have been discussed with the Executive Mayor, Municipal Manager & Mayoral Committee and there is general agreement that once the plans are included in the local municipal IDP's, they will be incorporated in the DM IDP.
Indicator 5: Boundaries of the Baviaanskloof Mega- reserve effectively contribute to corridor conservation of CFR biodiversity	Significant contribution is envisaged and the plans reflect this. As the project is implemented the contribution will be realised.
Indicator 6: Project Management Unit established and operational.	A Landowner Liaison Manager and a Special Projects Coordinator have been employed to complement the work of the already established PMU.

Describe the success of the project in terms of achieving its intended impact objective and performance indicators.

The Baviaanskloof Mega-Reserve Project is firmly established within the broader conservation community and most importantly amongst local stakeholders as an ambitious project that can produce significant gains for biodiversity conservation as well as the regional economy. The project has been widely embraced at a senior political level both municipal and provincial.

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

Positive

- Extended stakeholder process resulted in higher levels of buy in and support than expected including landowners and local communities.
- The Baviaanskloof as one of three Mega Reserves is providing examples (negative and positive) with specific reference to process from which other initiatives can learn.
- Improved levels of cooperation across institutional boundaries particularly as a result of involvement in forums such as the Protected Areas forum and other CAPE driven initiatives.
- Improved opportunities for funding for implementation.

Negative

 The stakeholder process opened up sensitive debates around traditional leaders and support base with political connotations that will need to be addressed. This could also be seen as a means to resolve an issue that could make a positive contribution to the greater project

IV. PROJECT OUTPUTS

Project Outputs: Enter the project outputs from the Logical Framework for the project

Planned vs. Actual Performance

Indicator	Actual at Completion
Output 1: Project Management Unit established	·
and operational.	
Indicator 1.1 PMU project manager, ecological	Completed
scientist and extension officer appointed to manage	
the PMU.	
Indicator 1.2 Monthly review meetings of the PMU to	Completed and ongoing
monitor progress in project.	
Indicator 1.3 PMU Port Elizabeth infrastructure in	Completed
place	
Indicator 1.4 Baviaanskloof PMU infrastructure in	Completed
place	
Indicator 1.5 Funding agencies approached	Initiated and ongoing. Funding secured from Lotto
additional funding for the Baviaanskloof Mega-	Board, WWF (TMF), Roberts Family Trust, Poverty
reserve Project	Relief (DEAT) under review process, Private
Indiantary 4.0 Mahiatan musahan ad MO	investors approached
Indicator 1.6 Vehicles purchased X3 Output 2: Stakeholders identified and consulted.	Completed
•	Completed but ongoing due to large planning
Indicator 2.1 Data base of stakeholders compiled	domain. Two data bases: One database focused
	on stakeholder groups, organizations, associations,
	institutions, initiatives and government departments
	and NGO's; another much larger geospatial
	database focused on landowners in the planning
	domain.
Indicator 2.2 Stakeholders consulted to develop draft	Completed – using mass meetings, internet, mail,
vision	focus groups, BSC, and task teams
Indicator 2.3 Stakeholders consulted to develop draft	Completed - using, focus groups, BSC, and task
development and management plans	teams
Indicator 2.4 Vision and 5-year development and	Completed - using mass meetings, internet, mail,
management plans finalised with stakeholder input.	focus groups, BSC, and task teams
Output 3:	
Indicator 3.1Wilderness Foundation contracted to	Completed
become implementing agency for the first 3 years of	
the Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve Project	
Indicator 3.2 BSC constitution developed,	Completed
membership defined and BSC operational	
Indicator 3.3 BSC meetings held 4 times per year to	Completed and ongoing
oversee the Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve Project	N
Indicator 3.4 Full-time Wilderness Foundation	Not completed. The position of Coordinator has not
Baviaanskloof Coordinator appointed	been filled with the Project Manager responsible for

	carrying out all Baviaanskloof related functions.
Indicator 3.5 Development of Memorandum of	Drafted and awaiting further negotiation with the
Understanding (MOU) for the management of the	relevant agencies
Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve involving relevant	Tolovani agenoles
stakeholders	
Indicator 3.6 Wilderness Foundation coordinate	Ongoing
project progress	
Indicator 3.7 PMU involves civil society in vision and	Specific phase completed but process is ongoing
development and management plan development	gening private compressed a at proceed to origining
Indicator 3.8 Coordination and participation with	Ongoing
C.A.P.E. structures and related initiatives	
Indicator 3.9 Development of alliances with other	Alliances and partnerships forged with a variety of
NGOs and civil society agents interested in the	role-players. Most of the groups interested in the
Baviaanskloof mega-reserve.	BMR area are members of the Baviaanskloof
	Steering Committee. Individual interactions are
	held with these organizations and others when the
	need arises.
Output 4: Mega-reserve vision integrated into	
regional planning processes	
Indicator 4.1 Review of existing status of regional	Completed and reviewed as appropriate
planning processes affecting the Baviaanskloof	
Mega-reserve area.	
Indicator 4.2 Develop a database of contact	Completed & Ongoing: Have contact details of
institutions and individuals responsible for municipal	applicable Local Municipality spatial planners and
Integrated Development Plans	IDP managers. In addition the PMU has secured a
	database of the relevant consultants.
Indicator 4.3 Local authorities in the Cacadu	Complete & Ongoing: Poleyant plans included into
Municipal Area engaged to integrate Baviaanskloof	Complete & Ongoing: Relevant plans included into Integrated Development Plans of local
mega-reserve planning process into region planning	municipalities.
initiatives	municipanties.
Output 5: Mega-reserve boundaries and	
management priorities identified and agreed	
upon	
Indicator 5.1 Institutional arrangements defined and	Institutional arrangements defined but current legal
agreed on/ current legal protected status clarified	status of Protected Area has not yet been finalized
	partly due to changes in status as a world heritage
	site as well as the establishment of the new
	Eastern Cape Parks Board as the responsible
	management authority.
Indicator 5.2 Ecological priorities identified, including	Completed with ongoing database management
current land use and land ownership database	
compiled	
Indicator 5.3 Management priorities identified,	Ongoing with increasing focus on cooperative
inclusive of cooperative management strategies and	management and incentive agreements
incentive schemes	Initial research priorities identified by DMLI.
Indicator 5.4 Research priorities that relate to the	Initial research priorities identified by PMU;
management of the proposed mega-reserve are identified	Scientific Working Group (scientific advisory
luentineu	subcommittee) approved by BSC. This task team will review and present the research priorities
	amongst other tasks.
Indicator 5.5 Cultural-historical priorities that has	Pilot study completed and published (Binneman
impact on the management and design of the mega-	2003). Next phase will be carried out as part of a
reserve need to be identified. This will involve	Lottery Board funded project: heritage
	management plan
T HIADDING OF CUITUIAL LESCUICES AND CATEGORIZING	
mapping of cultural resources and categorizing priority of these resources	
priority of these resources	
priority of these resources Indicator 5.6 Social priorities identified by means of	Completed (Roote 2003). Further studies will be
priority of these resources	
priority of these resources Indicator 5.6 Social priorities identified by means of an investigation by a social anthropologist into the needs of affected communities Indicator 5.7 Mega-reserve boundaries identified,	Completed (Roote 2003). Further studies will be
priority of these resources Indicator 5.6 Social priorities identified by means of an investigation by a social anthropologist into the needs of affected communities	Completed (Roote 2003). Further studies will be undertaken as part of the GEF project.

	interventions; detailed spatial vision to be published mid 2005 (under GEF and WWF funding).
Indicator 5.8 Infrastructure needs identified	Completed at a broad scale. GEF component will
maioator 6.6 mmastractare necas racminea	enable more fine scale planning (i.e. Spatial vision)
Output 6: Financial viability study completed	Chable more time deale planning (i.e. epatial violen)
Indicator 6.1 Review existing feasibility studies	Completed
Indicator 6.2 Financial feasibility study on the	Completed for Eastern Gateway only. The
Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve conducted	remaining area will be covered with the GEF
ga an	component, and through partnerships with civil
	society groups producing similar outputs
Output 7: Strategic Vision and 5-year	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
development and management plans formulated.	
Indicator 7.1 Draft Vision is developed by PMU with	Completed
stakeholder participation	
Indicator 7.2 Draft 5-year development and	Completed
management plan is formulated by PMU with	
stakeholder participation	
Indicator 7.3 Finalised Vision and 5-year	Completed
Development and Management Plans produced by	
PMU	
Indicator 7.4 BSC input on vision and plans at draft	Completed
and final stage, and iterations	
Output 8: Public engaged and involved in	
finalisation of development and management	
plans	
Indicator 8.1 Media releases (2monthly) that informs	Completed
the general public about the developments within the	
Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve Project	
Indicator 8.2 Public consultation and integration of	Completed
comments in final vision and 5-year development	
and management plans	
Indicator 8.3 Document printed and produced	Completed
Indicator 8.4 Distribute to stakeholders and key	Completed
interested and affected parties (this should include	
the print and electronic media)	

Describe the success of the project in terms of delivering the intended outputs.

The project was successful at a number of levels. The setting up of a representative Steering Committee, which enabled provided stakeholders with a platform for formal engagement and the stability of the BSC will be particularly beneficial during the challenges of implementation. The establishment of relationships with civil society groups, NGO's, academic institutions and municipalities as a result of the stakeholder component will prove invaluable as the Mega-Reserve Project develops.

Were any outputs unrealized? If so, how has this affected the overall impact of the project?

Yes. As the project developed the requirement for a Wilderness Foundation Baviaanskloof Coordinator changed and the position of Project Manager was then seen to be the person responsible for the management of the project.

V. SAFEGUARD POLICY ASSESSMENTS

Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.

None implemented

VI. LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE PROJECT

Describe any lessons learned during the various phases of the project. Consider lessons both for future projects, as well as for CEPF's future performance.

- Stakeholder processes need specific attention and skills. They also need to be implemented at a pace that is constructive and supportive or else they can cause increased tension and levels of misunderstanding amongst stakeholders.
- Different styles of engagement are appropriate for different stakeholder groups and individuals
- Make use of local champions as a means to carry positive messages to communities.
- Make use of people with local knowledge to advise on process and approach
- A plan remains a plan, the challenges lie in effective implementation

Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/failure)

• Initial stakeholder process was insufficient but extension of project period and reevaluation enabled a potential shortcoming in the final product to be corrected.

Project Execution: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/failure)

- Extensive use of a wide spectrum of stakeholders resulted in constructive critical input into the final product
- Establishment of working groups task team or subcommittees as a means to focus stakeholder input is clearly a good approach. However, it is important to note that getting theses bodies to function productively is often more difficult than establishing them, and can be very time consuming.
- Ability of the PMU to adapt approach resulted in a successful completion of the project within its objectives.

VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

VI. INFORMATION SHARING

CEPF aims to increase sharing of experiences, lessons learned and results among our grant
recipients and the wider conservation and donor communities. One way we do this is by making
the text of final project completion reports available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and by
marketing these reports in our newsletter and other communications. Please indicate whether you
would agree to publicly sharing your final project report with others in this way.
YesX
No

If yes, please also complete the following:

For more information about this project, please contact:

Name: Matthew Norval

Mailing address: Baviaanskloof Mega-reserve Project

PO Box 12509 Centrahil 6006 Port Elizabeth Republic of South Africa Tel:+27 (0) 41 582 1885

Fax: +27 (0) 41 582 1905 E-mail: matthew@sa.wild.org